

OVERHAULING INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION

CHALLENGES AND POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS TO INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN THE 21ST CENTURY

FRIDAY, MARCH 13, 2009, 9:00a.m. KING HALL, WILKINS MOOT COURTROOM

Interest in using alternative dispute resolution (ADR) has rapidly increased in recent years. ADR processes such as mediation and arbitration have been used in private contexts, both domestic and international, to resolve disputes between parties to a transaction. It is increasingly the method of choice in resolving disputes involving public entities as well, as exemplified in the explosion of investor-State cases at the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID). Through a number of panel discussions, the 2009 Symposium will explore the current state of ADR usage in a variety of settings, and explore directions it should take in the future.



SCHEDULE

8:30 A.M. MCLE SIGN-IN AND COFFEE (optional)

9:00 a.m. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

9:15 а.м.

OPENING REMARKS

Jack J. Coe Professor of Law, Pepperdine University

9:45 а.м.

ICSID REVISITED: EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE 2006 AMENDMENTS TO ICSID ARBITRATION RULES

David Caron C. William Maxeiner Distinguished Professor of Law, UC Berkeley School of Law

Meg Kinnear

General Counsel and Director of the Trade Law Bureau, Canada Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade

Gonzalo Flores

Senior Counsel, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes

11:00 - 11:15 а.м. **вreak**

SESSION 1 - ICSID REVISITED: EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE 2006 AMENDMENTS TO THE ICSID ARBITRATION RULES

The number of investor-state disputes handled by ICSID has significantly increased in recent years. Partly as a response to this dramatic increase in its caseload, ICSID implemented a number of changes to its arbitration rules. These reforms, which went into effect in 2006, addressed some of the criticisms that have been leveled at ICSID arbitrations, such as the lack of transparency in disputes and the need for a more efficient and streamlined process. The panel will include discussions by scholars, practitioners, and ICSID representatives as to whether these amendments effectively deal with the criticism and shortcomings of ICSID.

SESSION 2 - ADR AND CORPORATE AMERICA: ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION

In 1997, David Lipsky, Director of the Institute on Conflict Resolution at Cornell University, and Ronald Seeber, Associate Dean of the Cornell's School of Industrial and Labor Relations published their seminal study, "The Use of

11:15 a.m. - 12:15 p.m. **ADR AND CORPORATE AMERICA: ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION**

Richard D. Fincher

Co-Author of Emerging Systems for Managing Workplace Conflict: Lessons form American Corporations for Managers and Dispute Resolution Professional

12:15 р.м. - 1:45 р.м. **LUNCH**

1:45 р.м.

LESSONS FROM INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC CONFLICT RESOLUTION: THE NEW FACE OF ARBITRATION

Jeswald Salacuse

Henry J. Braker Professor of Commercial Law; The Fletcher School, Tufts University

Susan Franck

Associate Professor of Law, Washington and Lee University

Andrea K. Bjorklund Professor of Law, UC Davis School of Law

3:15 р.м.

CONCLUDING REMARKS Jeswald Salacuse

3:30 р.м. **RECEPTION**

ADR in U.S. Corporations," which examined the extent to which ADR was used by Fortune 1,000 companies. The study found that, despite some hesitation, corporate America had begun to embrace ADR as an appropriate means of conflict resolution. In the follow-up to this study nearly 10 years later, Richard Fincher worked with Professors Lispky and Seeber to report on the evolution of ADR in corporate America. During his address, Mr. Fincher will present an examination of the origins and acceptance of ADR within corporate America, and provide insight into the future of ADR in the ever-changing domestic corporate landscape.

SESSION 3 - LESSONS FROM INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC CONFLICT RESOLUTION: THE NEW FACE OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION

The final panel discussion will focus on the future of international arbitration in both the investor-State and private commercial contexts. The panelists will explore the issues and challenges faced by those attempting to design optimal systems of dispute resolution.

DATE

The symposium will be held on Friday, March 13, 2009, from 9:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

LOCATION

University of California, Davis, School of Law (Martin Luther King, Jr., Hall), Wilkins Moot Courtroom.

Please visit the UC Davis School of Law Web site at <u>http://www.law.ucdavis.edu/about/directions.html</u> for directions.

PUBLIC EVENT

The symposium is free and open to the public.

MCLE CREDIT

This symposium is approved for Minimum Continuing Education credit by the State Bar of California. Members of the California Bar will receive one hour of credit per panel session. The University of California, Davis, School of Law (#1127) certifies that this symposium conforms to the standards for approved education activities prescribed by the rules and regulations of the State Bar of California governing Minimum Continuing Legal Education.

Registration for Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit will begin 20 minutes before the start of the symposium.

For further information, contact the UC Davis Journal of International Law and Policy at jilp@ucdavis.edu or visit the symposium Web site at

http://jilp.law.ucdavis.edu/symposium.htm.

FACULTY ADVISORS

Afra Afsharipour Andrea K. Bjorklund

SPONSORS

The American Society of International Law (ASIL) University of California, Davis, School of Law







OVERHAULING INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION

CHALLENGES AND POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS TO INTERNATIONAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN THE 21ST CENTURY

FRIDAY, MARCH 13, 2009, 9:00a.m. KING HALL, WILKINS MOOT COURTROOM FREE AND OPEN TO THE PUBLIC MCLE CREDIT AVAILABLE

http://jilp.law.ucdavis.edu/ • http://blj.ucdavis.edu

